

Existence of Negative Gravity Material Identification of Dark Energy

James G. Gilson j.g.gilson@qmul.ac.uk*

March 27, 2006

Abstract

A solution to Einstein's field equations via the Friedman equations is shown to produce a cosmological model that is in exact agreement with the measurements made by the dark energy astronomers. All the essential physical parameters are obtained as epoch dependent functions all in closed form. The equations of state are obtained for a non-dark energy density, a dark energy density and a total gravitationally weighted mass density. An interpretation of the structure involving a dark energy mass distribution that is twice the usual value is shown to clarify greatly the physical significance of the mathematics. It is asserted that the astronomer's measurements together with the mathematical model proves that the universe is permeated uniformly with a positive mass density that carries a negative gravitational constant, $-G$, characteristic. This mass component is identified with the dark energy content of the universe that has been postulated to explain the observed acceleration. Another result implied by the model is that there is twice the amount of dark energy that is usually considered to be present. This last point is analysed in more detail in the appendix using Einstein's field equations.

Keywords: Dust Universe, Dark Energy, Friedman Equations

PACS Nos.: 98.80.-k, 98.80.Es, 98.80.Jk, 98.80.Qc

1 Introduction

The work to be described in this paper is a detailed analysis of a conclusion hinted at in the paper *A Dust Universe Solution to the Dark Energy Problem* [23]. The conclusion arrived at there was that the dark energy *substance* is physical material with a positive density, as is usual, but with a negative gravity, $-G$, characteristic. References to equations in that paper will be prefaced with the letter *A*. The work in *A* and its extension here has its origins in the studies of Einstein's general relativity in the Friedman equations context to be found in references ([16],[22],[21],[20],[19],[18],[4],[23]) and similarly

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom.

motivated work in references ([10],[9],[8],[7],[5]) and ([12],[13],[14],[15],[7]). Other useful sources of information have been references ([17],[3]) with the measurement essentials coming from references ([1],[2],[11]).

After the astronomical observations some eight years ago implying that the expansion of the universe is accelerating there has been overwhelming research into trying to find an explanation of what is happening and how it all does or does not fit into general relativity theory. The acceleration phenomenon is widely described as very mysterious. This is particularly in connection with the material called *dark energy* which is thought to produce a *negative pressure* that causes the acceleration. As a positive pressure in the general relativity generated Friedman equations is thought to be due to gravitational attraction, the measured acceleration is seen *vaguely* as being caused by dark energy being gravitationally repulsive. However, it has seemed to be difficult to fit the observations into general relativity theory. I think the basis of all the theoretical difficulties lies with what Einstein called his greatest mistake, the introduction of his cosmological constant Λ to stabilise his model which without Λ would itself suffer negative acceleration. I think the mistake was in fact *not* the introduction of Λ which actually brilliantly achieved his objective but rather the association of Λ with the mass density function ρ_Λ which was assumed to represent the mystery repulsive dark energy material. As this concept was obviously effective in stabilising Einstein's model it has for the last hundred years been regarded as a physically correct assumption and so it always appears in Λ orientated discussions of the Friedman equations. In *A*, I showed that if the density function for dark energy is rather defined as $\rho_\Lambda^\dagger = \Lambda c^2 / (4\pi G) = 2\rho_\Lambda$ then, dark energy becomes a distribution of positive mass that carries a negatively valued gravitational constant, $-G$. The dark energy model for the universe introduced in *A*, then accurately describes the dynamics and kinematics of the actual measured universe parameters and most of the mystery vanishes. It all turns on recognising that the universe contains a uniform and minutely small density distribution of negative gravity characterised material. I give a strong case for these ideas in the following pages by showing that the model from *A* has a *complete* solution giving for example the equations of state for the total *gravitationally positive* mass distribution density, $\rho(t)$, the dark energy material distribution, ρ_Λ , and the density a distribution, $\rho_G(t)$, which expresses the positive gravitational mass density relative to the dark energy mass density.

2 Summary of Mathematical Structure of Model

The main theoretical basis for the work to be discussed here are the two Friedman equations that derive from general relativity,

$$8\pi G\rho(t)r^2/3 = \dot{r}^2 + (k - \Lambda r^2/3)c^2 \quad (2.1)$$

$$-8\pi GP(t)r/c^2 = 2\ddot{r} + \dot{r}^2/r + (k/r - \Lambda r)c^2 \quad (2.2)$$

in the case $k = 0$ and a positively valued Λ we have,

$$8\pi G\rho(t)r^2/3 = \dot{r}^2 - |\Lambda|r^2c^2/3 \quad (2.3)$$

$$-8\pi GP(t)r/c^2 = 2\ddot{r} + \dot{r}^2/r - |\Lambda|rc^2. \quad (2.4)$$

The main results obtained from the dark energy model for ease of reference are listed next,

$$r(t) = (R_\Lambda/c)^{2/3}C^{1/3}\sinh^{2/3}(\pm 3ct/(2R_\Lambda)) \quad (2.5)$$

$$b = (R_\Lambda/c)^{2/3}C^{1/3} \quad (2.6)$$

$$C = 8\pi G\rho(t)r^3/3 \quad (2.7)$$

$$R_\Lambda = |3/\Lambda|^{1/2} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\theta_\pm(t) = \pm 3ct/(2R_\Lambda) \quad (2.9)$$

$$r(t) = b\sinh^{2/3}(\theta_\pm(t)) \quad (2.10)$$

$$v(t) = \pm(bc/R_\Lambda)\sinh^{-1/3}(\theta_\pm(t))\cosh(\theta_\pm(t)) \quad (2.11)$$

$$a(t) = b(c/(R_\Lambda))^2\sinh^{2/3}(\theta_\pm(t))(3 - \coth^2(\theta_\pm(t)))/2 \quad (2.12)$$

$$H(t) = (c/R_\Lambda)\coth(\pm 3ct/(2R_\Lambda)) \quad (2.13)$$

$$P(t) = (-c^2/(8\pi G))(2\ddot{r}(t)/r(t) + H^2(t) - 3(c/R_\Lambda)^2) \quad (2.14)$$

$$P(t) \equiv 0 \quad \forall t \quad (2.15)$$

$$P_\Lambda = (-3c^2/(8\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2 \quad (2.16)$$

$$\rho_\Lambda = (3/(8\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2 \quad (2.17)$$

$$\rho_\Lambda^\dagger = (3/(4\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2 = 2\rho_\Lambda. \quad (2.18)$$

The function $r(t)$ is the radius or scale factor¹ for this model([3]). The acceleration $a(t)$ can also be expressed as

$$\ddot{r}(t) = 4\pi rG(\rho_\Lambda^\dagger - \rho(t))/3 = a(t) \quad (2.19)$$

$$= 4\pi r^3G(\rho_\Lambda^\dagger - \rho(t))/(3r^2) \quad (2.20)$$

$$= M_\Lambda^\dagger G/r^2 - M_U G/r^2 \quad (2.21)$$

$$M_\Lambda^\dagger = 4\pi r^3\rho_\Lambda^\dagger/3 \quad (2.22)$$

$$M_U = 4\pi r^3\rho(t)/3 \quad (2.23)$$

¹After writing A, I found mention of this scale factor in Michael Berry's Book, p. 129.

where $M_\Lambda^\dagger$ is the total dark energy mass within the universe and M_U is the total non-dark energy mass within the universe. From equation(A2.19), we have

$$8\pi G\rho(t)r^2/3 + \Lambda r^2 c^2/3 = \dot{r}^2 \quad (2.24)$$

$$8\pi G\rho(t) + \Lambda c^2 = 3H^2(t) \quad (2.25)$$

$$8\pi G\rho(t) = 3H^2(t) - \Lambda c^2 \quad (2.26)$$

$$\rho(t) = (3/(8\pi G))(H^2(t) - (c/R_\Lambda)^2) \quad (2.27)$$

$$= (3/(8\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2(\coth^2(\theta_+(t)) - 1) \quad (2.28)$$

$$= (3/(8\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2(\sinh^{-2}(3ct/(2R_\Lambda))) \quad (2.29)$$

$$= (3/(8\pi G))C/r^3(t) \quad (2.30)$$

$$= 3M_U/(4\pi r^3(t)) = M_U/V_U(t). \quad (2.31)$$

Equation (2.31) confirms the mass density of the universe character of $\rho(t)$.

3 The Pseudo Mass Density

The mass densities introduced in this theoretical construction, so far, are ρ_Λ , $\rho_\Lambda^\dagger$ and $\rho(t)$. The first of these is what Einstein would have regarded as the repulsive material density within the universe to be associated with his Λ term added to overcome the intrinsic expansion process and give his static universe. The second one, is numerically twice the first which I have introduced for reasons about to be explained. The third density, $\rho(t)$, is the total *gravitational positive* mass density for the model being discussed. These are three legitimate mass densities in particular conforming to the usually accepted requirement that mass should always be *positive*. I now introduce another density of great importance which will be denoted by $\rho_G(t)$ and defined by

$$\rho_G(t) = \rho(t) - \rho_\Lambda^\dagger. \quad (3.1)$$

This is clearly *not* a legitimate mass density as it can become negative if $\rho(t) < \rho_\Lambda^\dagger$. However, if we rewrite it as

$$\rho_G(t) = (G_+\rho(t) + G_-\rho_\Lambda^\dagger)/G \quad (3.2)$$

$$G_+ = +G \quad (3.3)$$

$$G_- = -G, \quad (3.4)$$

the quantity $\rho_G(t)$ can then be regarded as a legitimate gravitationally *weighted mass density* and the equation (2.19) becomes

$$\ddot{r}(t) = -4\pi r(t)G\rho_G(t)/3 \quad (3.5)$$

$$2\ddot{r}(t)/r(t) = -8\pi G\rho_G(t)/3. \quad (3.6)$$

There is another way in which the quantity $\rho_G(t)$ can be legitimised. This is by calling it a *relative mass density*. Then possible negative values can be explained by arguing that

it falls below the reference density $\rho_\Lambda^\dagger$ for negative values. In that situation the uniform constant dark energy value is simply acting as a standard reference value throughout all space. Equation (3.5) or equation (3.6) is exactly the classical Newtonian result for the acceleration at the boundary of a spherical distribution positive G mass density.

4 Component Masses

Using equations (2.14) and (3.6) the identically zero total pressure $P(t)$ can be expressed in the form

$$P(t) = c^2 \rho_G(t)/3 + (c^2/(8\pi G))(3(c/R_\Lambda)^2 - H^2(t)) \equiv 0. \quad (4.1)$$

In A , $P_M(t)$, the positive component of the pressure associated with ordinary non-dark energy mass, was defined as $P(t) - P_\Lambda$. Here the notation has been changed so that the M subscript is replaced with the G subscript, $P_G(t) = P_M(t)$, an improved designation for the pressure produced by the non-dark energy material component of the universe. It follows from equation (4.1) with the total pressure $P(t) = P_G(t) + P_\Lambda$ that

$$\rho_G(t) = (3/(8\pi G))(H^2(t) - 3(c/R_\Lambda)^2) \quad (4.2)$$

$$P_G(t) = P(t) - P_\Lambda \quad (4.3)$$

$$= c^2 \rho_G(t)/3 + (-c^2/(8\pi G))H^2(t) + (6c^2/(8\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2 \quad (4.4)$$

using equation (2.16). Then as we have both $P_G(t)$ and $\rho_G(t)$ for this component, we can write down the equation of state for this component as

$$P_G(t)/(c^2 \rho_G(t)) = 1/3 - (c^2/(8\pi G))/(3c^2/(8\pi G)) + (c/R_\Lambda)^2/(H^2(t) - 3(c/R_\Lambda)^2) \quad (4.5)$$

$$= 1/3 - 1/3 + 1/(\coth^2(3ct/(2R_\Lambda)) - 3) \quad (4.6)$$

$$= 1/(\coth^2(3ct/(2R_\Lambda)) - 3) = \omega_G(t). \quad (4.7)$$

The equation of state for the density, $\rho(t)$, is given by equation (4.1) in either of the forms,

$$P(t) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

$$\rho_G(t) = (3/(8\pi G))(H^2(t) - 3(c/R_\Lambda)^2) \quad (4.9)$$

and because of the identically zero value of $P(t)$ an ω value is not defined. The equation of state for the dark energy component is given by equation A(4.50) as

$$\omega_\Lambda = P_\Lambda/(c^2 \rho_\Lambda) = -(3c^2/(8\pi G))(c/R_\Lambda)^2/(c^2 \rho_\Lambda) \quad (4.10)$$

$$= -1. \quad (4.11)$$

5 Conclusions

The cosmological model developed in *A* and further amplified here is *rigorously* a solution to the full set of Einstein's field equations of general relativity via the Friedman equations. The model also satisfies *exactly* the recent measurements by the astronomical dark energy workers. The model is mathematically complete as all the essential physical functions for, scale, velocity, acceleration, and Hubble's *not so* constant are given in closed form. The equations of state are obtained for the total *positive* G or non-dark energy density, $\rho(t)$; the dark energy density, ρ_Λ , used originally by Einstein and a total gravitationally weighted mass density, $\rho_G(t)$, are given in closed form. One difference from the usual physical interpretation is that the dark energy material is associated with a density, $\rho_\Lambda^\dagger$, twice the usual density that Einstein used. Thus if this density is used to replace the Einstein density in the equation of state (4.11) for dark energy, the -1 would be replaced with $-1/2$. This difference has radical consequences for the understanding of the whole physical structure of the theory. In particular, it implies that there is twice as much dark energy material present as hitherto has been thought to be present. Another major interpretational consequence is that dark energy material is *positive* mass that carries a negative valued, $-G$, characteristic property. With regard to the often suggested *mystery* that the enormous amount of dark energy present cannot be seen, a calculation of the density value of $\rho_\Lambda^\dagger$ shows it to be about the equivalent of less than nine protons or hydrogen atoms per cubic meter. No wonder it cannot be seen. It is also the case that if all the observable mass were un-clumped from being galaxies and spread uniformly throughout the universe it also would be unobservable. The flatness of the model means that the expanding universe can be regarded simply as an expanding 3-sphere enveloping more dark energy as it increases in radius. Thus as this density is an absolute constant this increase must come from the regions outside the universe's spherical boundary. Thus dark energy is more than just a characteristic of the interior of the universe, it extends uniformly out into the three dimensional enveloping space into which the universe is expanding. It is hyper-universal. It is my contention that the astronomical measurements[1] together with the inevitably following general relativity theoretical model derived in *A*[23] and further developed in this paper constitute *proof* that there exists throughout the universe and beyond extending into all hyperspace *positive* mass with a *negative* gravitational characteristic, $-G$, additional to the rest of the universe of swarming galaxies largely controlled *locally* by positive G .

6 Appendix

Newtonian Limit of Einstein's Field Equations Dark Matter and Dark Energy with Einstein's Lambda

December 9 2009

7 Appendix Abstract

The stress energy momentum tensor with its invariant contracted scalar from Einstein's field equations are used to show that the pressure term they involve is responsible for inducing *additional* mass density above that which is historically thought to be present. This has significant consequences for both the dark matter and dark energy problems. The additional material in the case of normally gravitating material might reasonably be taken to be the missing dark matter required for the stability of galaxies. The negative pressure of the dark energy is shown to induce just the right amount of extra negatively gravitating material to account for its usually assumed equation of state and also confirming the physical dark energy density I have deduced as being present in astrospace in earlier papers.

8 Appendix Introduction

The work to be described in this paper is an application of the cosmological model introduced in the papers *A Dust Universe Solution to the Dark Energy Problem* [23], *Existence of Negative Gravity Material. Identification of Dark Energy* [24] and *Thermodynamics of a Dust Universe* [32]. All of this work and its applications has its origin in the studies of Einstein's general relativity in the Friedman equations context to be found in references ([16],[22],[21],[20],[19],[18],[4],[23]) and similarly motivated work in references ([10],[9],[8],[7],[5]) and ([12],[13],[14],[15],[7],[25],[3]). The applications can be found in ([23],[24],[32],[36],[34][40]). Other useful sources of information are ([17],[3],[30],[27],[29],[28]) with the measurement essentials coming from references ([1],[2],[11],[37]). Further references will be mentioned as necessary.

9 Einstein's Lambda

Einstein presented his field equation for general relativity in 1915, ten years after the publication of his *special* theory of relativity which, as its name implies, was all about observers views from frames of reference in relative motion and this replaced the precursor *Newton's Theory of dynamics*. The big jump from the special theory to the general theory was that gravitation was incorporated into the simple space time geometrical structure of the special theory to produce a much more involved space time geometry. Thus general relativity replaced *Newton's Theory of Gravity*. However, you look at these developments one thing is certain, the evolution of theory must involve the more advanced forms reducing to the less advanced forms under some approximation conditions. Only if theory develops under such a constraint, can we be sure that the evolution process is consistent and built on sound foundations. There are other important constraints on theory evolution, the most important of which is theoretical agreement with measurement. Here we consider a case of the first mentioned, *limiting consistency with earlier theory*. In particular, I shall examine an important aspect of the extensively studied Newtonian limit of general relativity. This limit is discussed in detail in all the serious

textbooks on general relativity with correct mathematical and physical analysis. However, it seems to me that they all miss important consequences that can be seen from a careful and possibly alternative interpretation of the physics of that limit. One of these missed consequences involves the Lambda term and its involved pressure and the other missed consequence is about the normal mass density contribution and its involvement with pressure. The first issue is the question of the theoretical *amount* of physical dark energy density that is present as the vacuum state of three space. This aspect is studied in this paper using the Einstein field equations together with the Friedman equations rather than as in earlier work when I used the Friedman equations exclusively. The second missed consequence could supply a general relativistic explanation for the missing *dark matter* problem and essentially falls out from the first Λ issue.

Einstein's fundamental and brilliant idea in writing down his field equations was to make a connection between physical conditions in space time as given by the stress energy momentum tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$, with a geometrical interpretation of these conditions as given by the geometrical structure terms on the left hand side of the equation. See reference (10.1) . Thus the right hand side is essentially input with the left hand side describing an output geometrical pattern explanation of the input physical structure on the right. Clearly, however, the introduction of the Lambda term, (10.2), does complicate this simple input-output relationship idea because mathematically the Lambda term could be transposed to the right hand side and then be regarded as actually a contribution to the physical input rather than to the geometry. Either side will do for this term in its raw form as $\pm g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda$ with, of course, the appropriate sign. However, if it is put on the right hand side there is a temptation to interpret it as being the consequence of a physical space density additional to the physical input tensor $-\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$ and of the same form such as $-\kappa T_{0,\mu\nu}$ but with the extra 0 subscript to distinguish it. Λ would then acquire a simple physical interpretation in terms of an additional energy density ρ_0 , the (44) component of $T_{0,\mu\nu}$. Consequently we would get

$$-g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = -\kappa T_{0,\mu\nu}. \quad (9.1)$$

The (44) term of $T_{\mu\nu}$ is $c^2\rho$ and the (44) term of $g_{\mu\nu}$ is $= 1$ so that (9.1) would imply

$$\Lambda = \kappa T_{0,44} = \kappa c^2 \rho_0 \quad (9.2)$$

and with κ as usual identified as

$$\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4 \quad (9.3)$$

the conclusion is that

$$\rho_0 = \frac{\Lambda c^2}{8\pi G}. \quad (9.4)$$

Readers familiar with this area of study will recognise (9.4) as giving the value of the constant physical density that Einstein actually chose to account for the addition of his Lambda term in his field equations. I think it is important to understand that the above

argument leading to the result (9.4) is completely correct if the original question to be answered is taken to be the following. What value of the (44) term of a stress energy momentum tensor on the right is required to correctly represent the newly introduced Lambda term on the left? I expect that Einstein's derivation of (9.4) was finding the answer to the above question in his original reasoning which led to his result. However, a different answer is obtained from a closer study of the field equations if the following alternative question is asked. What is the value of physical energy density in three space implied by the addition of the Einstein Lambda term on the left? This last question will be answered in the following pages.

10 Einstein's Field Equations

The first tensor equation that Einstein proposed in 1915 embodying the general theory was,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (10.1)$$

Later, 1917, he modified this with the addition of the so called *Lambda*, Λ , term so that his equation became

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (10.2)$$

The right hand side of this equation is the stress energy momentum tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$ multiplied by a negative constant $-\kappa$ which can be evaluated on the basis of the assumption that Newtonian gravitation theory is a limiting consequence of these equations. The term $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci curvature tensor and the term R is a scalar invariant obtained from the Ricci tensor. The term $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor which in special relativity has the form and components which can be taken to be given by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, +1). \quad (10.3)$$

The stress energy momentum tensor, describing as it does the local conditions of a physical continuous medium of some sort or other, is in general a very complicated mathematical physical object and only in the cases of a limited number of actual physical structures has it been used as a source term in Einstein's field equations to yield a reasonable geometric structure describable by the left hand side of the field equations. One such physical situation that is viable is what is called a perfect fluid which using special relativity and the assumption that such a fluid will have no internal stresses other than just pressure, P , $T_{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed in the form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\zeta} \left(\rho + \frac{P}{c^2} \right) \frac{dx^\sigma}{ds} \frac{dx^\zeta}{ds} - P g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (10.4)$$

If the local material described by the $T_{\mu\nu}$ has no 3-dimensional macroscopic movement, then the 4-dimensional velocity vectors in the expression (10.4) can be replaced by velocity vectors of the form,

$$\frac{dx^\sigma}{ds} = (0, 0, 0, c). \quad (10.5)$$

to give

$$T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\zeta} \left(\rho + \frac{P}{c^2} \right) c^2 - P g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (10.6)$$

Thus we can read off from this that all component with $\nu \neq \mu$ are given by the first entry below as being zero. The elements with $\nu = \mu \neq 4$ are given by the second, third and fourth entries below. The (44) component is give as $c^2\rho$ at the fifth entry below.

$$T_{\mu\nu} = 0, \nu \neq \mu \quad (10.7)$$

$$T_{11} = P \quad (10.8)$$

$$T_{22} = P \quad (10.9)$$

$$T_{33} = P \quad (10.10)$$

$$T_{44} = c^2\rho. \quad (10.11)$$

Thus the stress energy momentum tensor in the limiting situation chosen can be represented by the diagonal matrix

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(P, P, P, c^2\rho) \quad (10.12)$$

and the trace of this matrix called T which is the sum of these diagonal elements is the invariant scalar or zero order tensor,

$$T = 3P + c^2\rho = c^2(3P/c^2 + \rho). \quad (10.13)$$

From this we see that in general T involves adding to the energy density an extra energy density $3P$ or equivalently adding to the mass density an extra mass density $3P/c^2$. It is well known that in relativity cosmological pressure is an additional contribution to mass density that modifies the mass density and so makes an extra relativistic contribution to Newton's law of gravitation. Thus an effective physical mass density is generated of amount $\rho_{eff} = 3P/c^2 + \rho$. This is most easily seen from an equation that can be obtained from the Friedman equation for the acceleration field due to density. This equation is

$$\frac{\ddot{r}}{r} = \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} - \frac{4\pi G}{3} \left(\rho + \frac{3P}{c^2} \right) \quad (10.14)$$

and includes a contribution from the Lambda term, Λc^2 . This is a very important equation in relation to the acceleration due to gravity at radius r and how that depends on the mass density term ρ . Clearly, the pressure term $3P/c^2$ adds to the mass density

to produce an effective or physical mass density $3P/c^2 + \rho$, the same addition we noted above in the invariant tensor T derived from the full $T_{\mu\nu}$ by contraction. This makes an extra contribution to the acceleration caused by gravity due to the mass density that occurs in Newtonian theory and indeed is a relativistic contribution that has been known about for years. This extra term makes very good sense in the density context and can be explained as follows. Suppose we wish to determine the magnitude of the acceleration a_g due to gravity at some distance from a *galaxy* of total mass m_g using the Newtonian inverse square law formula,

$$a_g = -\frac{m_g G}{r^2}. \quad (10.15)$$

The minus sign in the above incorporates the fact that the acceleration is normally always towards the *source* of gravitational force. This formula will only be useful if we can find a value to give to the mass symbol m_g of the galaxy. However, galaxies are greatly diverse objects and their masses are obviously not just the sum of the masses of their individual component stars and planets. All the components of a galaxy are held together in relative motions or at rest by forces in the very simplest picture. All such forces and motions will modify the simple sum of mass components. A very simplified type picture of a galaxy is a gas or a fluid with interacting particles so that the stress energy tensor used above does fit the bill and reduces our options in general relativity to that form. However, it should be clear that the stress energy momentum tensor can only be an hyper idealisation of the structure it represents, essentially smoothing out all the complications into the two entities density and pressure. Thus we have little choice but to describe a galaxy using a density function and a pressure term. Also we need to recognise that a galaxy will need to have some sort of bounded spherical volume v_g , say, within which its mass density will account for all its mass and outside which the galaxy will have no mass. The frame of reference in which we wish to study the acceleration determines the frame of reference in which the source will be taken to be at rest and I think it is clear that in this frame the mass $m_g = \rho_{eff} v_g$ should be called the *rest mass* of the galaxy and as with rest mass in the case of elementary particles, in this frame, the galaxy will have no total overall motion but it can have the local type of random motions that are responsible for pressure as in the gas analogy, the contribution to the pressure term being strictly internal. Clearly the frame invariant T term is just what is needed to emulate the frame invariant rest mass of an elementary particle.

Returning to the equation for acceleration (10.14) we can see that the negative acceleration is reinforced by the additional *positive* pressure term $3P/c^2$. That is to say it helps holds the system together. This aspect prompts me to speculate that such an addition to the mass of a galaxy could be just what is needed to explain *Dark Matter*. This is the extra mass on top of the usually assumed amount of visible mass due to average density calculations or mass density that is required to balance the dynamical bookkeeping. However, as we have seen, it would require a non zero pressure which as it stands is not a property of my dust universe model. However, I think having spatially extended regions, galaxies, scattered throughout the universe in which pressure is not zero whilst in the massively larger regions between them in which pressure is zero would

be allowable with the overall effect being a dust universe. This is because the term involved in the acceleration formula is that due to normally gravitating material in which extra energy due to pressure would clump together with the density by which it was induced. Clearly this argument does not apply to the Λ term because that is self repulsive material that does not clump and so the extra density due to pressure would disperse uniformly throughout space as is its origin density. As far as I know, this explanation for the existence of *dark matter* has not appeared before in spite of the mathematical physical structure concerned in general relativity having been known about for many years, see Rindler on pressure page 395([16]).

It is possible to further simplify $T_{\mu\nu}$ by introducing the concept of equation of state for the fluid motion which is an equation expressing the pressure linearly as a function density similar to an equation used in thermodynamics, $P = RT/V$, taking ρ to have the mass density $RT/(Vc^2\omega)$. The standard form for this equation of state as used in cosmology is

$$\frac{P}{c^2} = \rho\omega, \quad (10.16)$$

where ω is a dimensionless quantity with a numerical value that is determined by the physical character of the system, it can be negative, zero or positive. If the fluid particles are photons it has the value $1/3$. If the fluid particles are what is called dust that is to say they exert no fluid pressure it has the value 0 . If the fluid particles exerts a negative pressure it has to have a negative value, $\omega_\Lambda = -1 \implies P = c^2\omega_\Lambda\rho_\Lambda$, assuming that density is always positive. Thus in these three cases explained above the pressure P can be replaced in favour of ρ so that the stress energy momentum tensor invariant scalar, T , at (10.13) can be put into the forms listed below. The original formula (10.13) given first then the case with substitution for the pressure in the general case, $P = c^2\rho\omega$. Next the case with the pressure substitution for the photon case, $\omega = 1/3$. Next with the pressure substitution for the dust case, $P = 0$ and finally the case with pressure substitution for the negative pressure case, $\omega = -1$.

$$T = c^2(3P/c^2 + \rho), \text{ original formula} \quad (10.17)$$

$$T_g = c^2\rho(3\omega + 1), \text{ general} \quad (10.18)$$

$$T_p = 2c^2\rho, \text{ photons} \quad (10.19)$$

$$T_d = c^2\rho, \text{ dust} \quad (10.20)$$

$$T_n = -2c^2\rho, \text{ negative pressure.} \quad (10.21)$$

Using the original formula at (10.17), equation (10.14) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\ddot{r}}{r} = \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} - \frac{4\pi GT}{3c^2}. \quad (10.22)$$

From (10.21) it can be seen that we can account for the Λ term by making an identification

with the appropriate, T_n , as exists with the T term as follows

$$\Lambda c^2 = -\frac{4\pi G T_n}{c^2} \quad (10.23)$$

$$= -\frac{4\pi G(-2c^2)\rho}{c^2} \quad (10.24)$$

$$= 8\pi G\rho. \quad (10.25)$$

Clearly ρ above is Einstein's, $\rho_{\Lambda,E} = \Lambda c^2/(8\pi G)$, but in the acceleration formula the Lambda term should be represented as

$$\frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} = \frac{4\pi G \times 2\rho}{3}, \quad (10.26)$$

indicating that twice Einstein's dark energy, $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} = 2\rho_{\Lambda,E}$, is the physical energy density that causes the acceleration. In reference ([23]), I showed that the general relativistic version for acceleration due to gravity for a mass M_U embedded in and permeated by an infinitely extended uniformly field of Λ dark energy corresponds exactly to the Newtonian inverse square law form provided, the Lambda density is taken to be $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\dagger}$. This is easily derivable from (10.22).

$$\frac{\ddot{r}}{r} = 4\pi r^3 G(\rho_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} - \rho)/(3r^2) \quad (10.27)$$

$$= M_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} G/r^2 - M_U G/r^2 \quad (10.28)$$

$$M_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} = 4\pi r^3 \rho_{\Lambda}^{\dagger}/3 \quad (10.29)$$

$$M_U = 4\pi r^3 \rho/3. \quad (10.30)$$

11 Appendix Conclusions

Using the physical information source term, $T_{\mu\nu}$, from Einstein's field equations for general relativity and its invariant scalar contraction, T , I have reappraised the significance of the pressure variable P that occurs therein. I make use of well known and well established formulae which shows that the cosmological pressure P measures *induced* mass density additional to the mass density that is described by the 44 component of $T_{\mu\nu}$. This is achieved using the well known details of the Newtonian limit of general relativity. In the case of Einstein's Lambda term, I use the same structured argument to show that the negative pressure associated with the lambda term similarly induces an additional density contribution so doubling up to the amount of dark energy to give for the *physically* present amount $\rho_{\Lambda}^{\dagger} = 2\Lambda c^2/(8\pi G)$ or twice Einstein's value. This is equal to the value I have used for dark energy density in the papers in the sequence preceding this paper, there deduced by exclusively using the Friedman equations. I suggest that the increased amount of density implied for the density of normally gravitating material induced by its pressure could account for the missing dark matter an issue that greatly exercises the

astronomical community. Both of these additional *dark* mass energy contributions could well have some bearing on the *Pioneer* anomaly problem.

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to Professors Clive Kilmister and Wolfgang Rindler for help, encouragement and inspiration

References

- [1] R. A. Knop et al. arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0309368
New Constraints on Ω_M , Ω_Λ and ω from
an independent Set (Hubble) of Eleven High-Redshift
Supernovae, Observed with HST
- [2] Adam G. Riess et al xxx.lanl.gov/abs/astro-ph/0402512
Type 1a Supernovae Discoveries at $z > 1$
From The Hubble Space Telescope: Evidence for Past
Deceleration and constraints on Dark energy Evolution
- [3] Berry 1978, Principles of cosmology and gravitation, CUP
- [4] Gilson, J.G. 1991, Oscillations of a Polarizable Vacuum,
Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis,
4, 11, 95–110.
- [5] Gilson, J.G. 1994, Vacuum Polarisation and
The Fine Structure Constant, Speculations in Science
and Technology , **17**, 3 , 201-204.
- [6] Gilson, J.G. 1996, Calculating the fine structure constant,
Physics Essays, **9** , 2 June, 342-353.
- [7] Eddington, A.S. 1946, Fundamental Theory, Cambridge
University Press.
- [8] Kilmister, C.W. 1992, Philosophica, **50**, 55.
- [9] Bastin, T., Kilmister, C. W. 1995, Combinatorial Physics
World Scientific Ltd.
- [10] Kilmister, C. W. 1994 , Eddington's search for a Fundamental
Theory, CUP.
- [11] Peter, J. Mohr, Barry, N. Taylor, 1998,
Recommended Values of the fundamental Physical Constants,
Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, AIP

- [12] Gilson, J. G. 1997, Relativistic Wave Packing and Quantization, Speculations in Science and Technology, **20** Number 1, March, 21-31
- [13] Dirac, P. A. M. 1931, Proc. R. Soc. London, **A133**, 60.
- [14] Gilson, J.G. 2007, www.fine-structure-constant.org
The fine structure constant
- [15] McPherson R., Stoney Scale and Large Number Coincidences, Apeiron, Vol. 14, No. 3, July, 2007
- [16] Rindler, W. 2006, Relativity: Special, General and Cosmological, Second Edition, Oxford University Press
- [17] Misner, C. W.; Thorne, K. S.; and Wheeler, J. A. 1973, Gravitation, Boston, San Francisco, CA: W. H. Freeman
- [18] J. G. Gilson, 2004, Physical Interpretations of Relativity Theory Conference IX
London, Imperial College, September, 2004
Mach's Principle II
- [19] J. G. Gilson, A Sketch for a Quantum Theory of Gravity: Rest Mass Induced by Graviton Motion, May/June 2006, Vol. 17, No. 3, Galilean Electrodynamics
- [20] J. G. Gilson, arxiv.org/PS_cache/physics/pdf/0411/0411085v2.pdf
A Sketch for a Quantum Theory of Gravity:
Rest Mass Induced by Graviton Motion
- [21] J. G. Gilson, arxiv.org/PS_cache/physics/pdf/0504/0504106v1.pdf
Dirac's Large Number Hypothesis
and Quantized Friedman Cosmologies
- [22] Narlikar, J. V., 1993, Introduction to Cosmology, CUP
- [23] Gilson, J.G. 2005, A Dust Universe Solution to the Dark Energy Problem, Vol. 1, *Aether, Spacetime and Cosmology*, PIRT publications, 2007,
arxiv.org/PS_cache/physics/pdf/0512/0512166v2.pdf
- [24] Gilson, PIRT Conference 2006, Existence of Negative Gravity Material, Identification of Dark Energy,
arxiv.org/abs/physics/0603226
- [25] G. Lemaître, Ann. Soc. Sci. de Bruxelles
Vol. A47, 49, 1927

- [26] Ronald J. Adler, James D. Bjorken and James M. Overduin 2005, Finite cosmology and a CMB cold spot, SLAC-PUB-11778
- [27] Mandl, F., 1980, Statistical Physics, John Wiley
- [28] Rizvi 2005, Lecture 25, PHY-302, <http://hepwww.ph.qmw.ac.uk/~rizvi/npa/NPA-25.pdf>
- [29] Nicolay J. Hammer, 2006 www.mpa-garching.mpg.de/lectures/ADSEM/SS06_Hammer.pdf
- [30] E. M. Purcell, R. V. Pound, 1951, Phys. Rev., **81**, 279
- [31] Gilson J. G., 2006, www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~jgg/darkenergy.pdf Presentation to PIRT Conference 2006
- [32] Gilson J. G., 2007, Thermodynamics of a Dust Universe, Energy density, Temperature, Pressure and Entropy for Cosmic Microwave Background <http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2998>
- [33] Beck, C., Mackey, M. C. <http://xxx.arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0406504>
- [34] Gilson J. G., 2007, Reconciliation of Zero-Point and Dark Energies in a Friedman Dust Universe with Einstein's Lambda, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2998>
- [35] Rudnick L. et al, 2007, WMP Cold Spot, Apj in press
- [36] Gilson J. G., 2007, Cosmological Coincidence Problem in an Einstein Universe and in a Friedman Dust Universe with Einstein's Lambda, Vol. 2, *Aether, Spacetime and Cosmology*, PIRT publications, 2008
- [37] Freedman W. L. and Turner N. S., 2008, Observatories of the Carnegie Institute Washington, Measuring and Understanding the Universe
- [38] Gilson J. G., 2007, Expanding Boundary Pressure Process. All pervading Dark Energy Aether in a Friedman Dust Universe with Einstein's Lambda, Vol. 2, *Aether, Spacetime and Cosmology*, PIRT publications, 2008
- [39] Gilson J. G., 2007, Fundamental Dark Mass, Dark Energy Time Relation in a Friedman Dust Universe and in a Newtonian Universe with Einstein's Lambda, Vol. 2, *Aether, Spacetime and Cosmology*, PIRT publications, 2008
- [40] Gilson J. G., 2008, . A quantum Theory Friendly Cosmology Exact Gravitational Waves in a Friedman Dust Universe with Einstein's Lambda, PIRT Conference, 2008

- [41] Bondi, H., 1957, July . Negative mass in general relativity
Reviews of Modern Physics, **29** (3), 423-428
- [42] Ramsey, A. S. 1943, Dynamics Part 1, page 157, Cambridge UP.
- [43] Dragan Slavkov Hajdukovic, 2008, Dark matter, dark energy
and gravitational properties of antimatter Hajdukovic
- [44] Gilson J. G., 2009, . Dark Energy and its Possible
Existence in Particulate Form in a Friedman
Dust Universe with Einstein's Lambda, QMUL, 2008